

Reconciling Productivity Growth and Carbon Emission Reduction: A System Dynamics Study on Pathway for High-quality Development of the Construction Industry

Jun Zhang ^a, Dianwei He ^a, Min Chen ^{a*}, Qingting Xiang ^{a*}, and Lilin Zhao ^a

^a School of Transportation and Civil Engineering, Nantong University, No.9, Seyuan Street,
Chongchuan District, Nantong, 226019, China

* Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: chen.min@ntu.edu.cn (Min Chen), xiangqingting@yeah.net (Qingting Xiang)

1 **Reconciling Productivity Growth and Carbon Emission Reduction: A System Dynamics Study**

2 **on Pathway for High-quality Development of the Construction Industry**

3

4 **Abstract:** This study aims to identify an optimal pathway for achieving high-quality development of
5 the construction industry (HQDCI) by examining how total factor productivity (TFP) improvement
6 and carbon emission (CE) reduction can be advanced synergistically. A system dynamics model
7 integrating TFP and CE was developed, using which the long-term evolution of China's HQDCI was
8 simulated under baseline, single-factor, and multi-factor scenarios. The results demonstrated that: (1)
9 maintaining the current development mode would prevent the construction industry from achieving
10 significant TFP growth and reaching the carbon peak before 2030; (2) pursuing either greenization or
11 intelligentization alone cannot simultaneously deliver both TFP growth and CE reduction; and (3) the
12 optimal pathway for HQDCI is to prioritize rapid greenization alongside steady intelligentization.
13 This study advances the understanding of the intrinsic mechanisms and long-term evolutionary
14 dynamics of HQDCI when subject to dual TFP and CE constraints, and provides a systematic strategy
15 for enhancing productivity while reducing emission.

16

17 **Keywords:** Construction industry; High-quality development; Total factor productivity; Carbon
18 emission; System dynamics

19

20 1 Introduction

21 China's economy is transitioning from a phase of high-speed growth to one focused on high-
22 quality development. As a pillar industry of the national economy, the high-quality development of
23 the construction industry (HQDCI) plays a crucial role in supporting this overarching transition.
24 However, the construction industry currently faces multiple challenges, including low production
25 efficiency (Wang et al., 2025), slow adoption of advanced technologies (Liu et al., 2022), a
26 constrained labor supply (You, 2024). Meanwhile, against the backdrop of the escalating urgency and
27 complexity of the global climate crisis (Lei & Xu, 2025), the issue of high carbon emissions in the
28 construction industry remains prominent (Zhang et al., 2024a). These issues significantly hinder the
29 industry's transformation toward a sustainable, high-quality development mode. Therefore,
30 identifying effective pathways for HQDCI is crucial for advancing China's overall economic
31 development quality.

32 HQDCI represents an integrated mode emphasizing green growth, efficiency, innovation, and
33 sustainability (Song et al., 2022). Achieving this objective requires not only improving productivity,
34 but also ensuring that development occurs within environmental constraints. In the construction
35 industry, productivity growth and carbon emission reduction have increasingly become two closely
36 intertwined challenges during the transition toward high-quality development. On the one hand,
37 improving productivity is essential for strengthening industrial competitiveness and sustaining long-
38 term growth. On the other hand, as one of China's major sources of energy consumption and
39 emissions, the construction industry faces growing pressure to contribute to national carbon-peaking
40 and carbon-neutrality goals. Therefore, reconciling productivity growth with low-carbon
41 development has become a critical issue in advancing HQDCI.

42 To address this challenge, this study focused on productivity growth and low-carbon

43 development. Total factor productivity (TFP), widely viewed as the central indicator of high-quality
44 development, reflects improvements in production efficiency, technological progress, and resource
45 allocation efficiency (Gong & Zhang 2023). Meanwhile, promoting green and low-carbon
46 development, with substantial carbon emission (CE) reduction as a fundamental constraint, is an
47 essential requirement for achieving high-quality development in the construction industry (Hao et al.,
48 2022). Accordingly, TFP and CE are selected as representative indicators of productivity and low-
49 carbon development, respectively. Therefore, identifying pathways for their coordinated
50 improvement is essential for advancing HQDCI.

51 However, in the context of high-quality development, existing research tends to examine TFP
52 and CE separately. One strand of literature treats TFP as the primary measure of HQDCI, analyzing
53 it across enterprise, industrial, and regional levels (Liu et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2023; Zhou et al., 2024).
54 Another strand focuses on CE as a critical constraint within the high-quality development framework
55 (Hu et al., 2025; Li et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2025). Although these studies offer
56 valuable insights, they do not systematically investigate the intrinsic relationship and potential
57 conflicts between productivity growth and emission reduction—where, for instance, TFP gains may
58 coincide with rising CE. Accordingly, systematic research that jointly analyzes TFP and CE is needed
59 to identify viable pathways for HQDCI.

60 Scholars have employed various approaches (e.g., analytic hierarchy process, geographically
61 and temporally weighted regression) to explore pathways for the HQDCI (Li & Ma, 2024; Wang &
62 Cheng, 2024; Zhang & Zhang, 2024). However, these studies rely on static analytical frameworks
63 and fail to adequately incorporate interactions, nonlinear relationships, and dynamic feedback among
64 the factors influencing HQDCI. As a result, the intrinsic mechanisms and long-term evolutionary
65 dynamics of HQDCI remain poorly understood, hindering the identification of its optimal pathway.

66 HQDCI is inherently a dynamic, multi-factor, and continuously evolving process. That is, uncovering
67 its optimal pathway requires analytical methods capable of capturing multi-factor interactions,
68 nonlinearity, and dynamic feedback within complex systems.

69 System dynamics combined with scenario analysis may be an appropriate method for identifying
70 the optimal pathway for HQDCI. Scenario analysis is a method for assessing and optimizing the long-
71 term effects of interventions by comparing how a system evolves under different future conditions
72 (Zhan et al., 2024). System dynamics serves as a well-suited tool for this purpose (Zhang et al., 2021),
73 as it enables the analysis of complex system structures and factor interactions, handles nonlinear
74 relationships, and supports the dynamic simulation and prediction of system evolution (Ding et al.,
75 2016).

76 To sum up, this study aims to identify the optimal pathway for HQDCI by developing a system
77 dynamics model integrating TFP and CE and conducting scenario analysis. Through this approach,
78 this study is expected to reveal the underlying mechanisms and long-term evolutionary patterns of
79 HQDCI under the dual constraints of TFP and CE. Furthermore, the identified optimal pathway for
80 HQDCI will offer valuable insights to support long-term industry planning and policy formulation.

81 **2 Methodology**

82 *2.1 Model construction*

83 *2.1.1 System boundaries*

84 This study examined the HQDCI in China, using TFP and CE as its core indicators. The analysis
85 covers mainland China spatially and extends from 2010 to 2035 temporally. Owing to data availability,
86 2010–2022 was designated as the investigation period and 2023–2035 as the experimental period,
87 with a simulation time step of one year.

88 To systematically analyze the dynamic evolution of HQDCI, a system dynamics model

comprising five subsystems was developed. First, following the Solow model (Solow, 1957), investment, labor, and output subsystems were established to capture the effects of capital input, human input, and economic growth, respectively. Second, given the recognized role of technological progress in driving TFP improvement (Xu & Deng, 2022), a dedicated technology subsystem was included. Third, in response to China's dual-carbon targets and the energy-intensive nature of construction, an environmental subsystem was integrated to incorporate CE constraints into the evaluation framework.

2.1.2 Causal loop diagram

Constructing a causal loop diagram requires identifying key variables and their causal relationships within the system. This study began with a systematic review of HQDCI-related literature to extract critical factors and their linkages across subsystems. To enhance the model's validity, the group model building (GMB) method was then employed to verify and refine these elements.

GMB is a participatory modeling technique that leverages stakeholder expertise to identify factors and feedback mechanisms in complex systems (Li et al., 2023). Following the approach of Xu et al. (2023), an eight-member expert panel was assembled for this study. The members were selected based on the following criteria: (a) at least 10 years of work experience in fields relevant to the construction industry (such as corporate management, government regulation, and industry research); (b) the unit covers the main links of the construction industry value chain (enterprises, governments, industry associations, academic institutions); and (c) practical experience in participating in industry policy consultation or strategic planning. The composition of the panel is summarized in Table 1.

112 Table 1 Basic information of discussion group members

Experts	Position	Gender	Work experience (year)
1	Senior manager in construction enterprises	Male	22
2	Senior manager in construction enterprises	Male	19
3	Government regulators	Male	31
4	Government regulators	Female	12
5	Experts from industry associations	Male	16
6	Experts from industry associations	Male	17
7	University professor	Male	26
8	University professor	Female	18

113 All experts participated in their individual capacities, and no single organization or project
114 affiliation dominated the consultation process. To ensure the independence and impartiality of the
115 expert input, the recruitment strategy deliberately sought diversity across institutional backgrounds—
116 including academic researchers, industry practitioners, and policy-related professionals—rather than
117 relying on a single source or stakeholder group. This multi-source approach minimized the risk that
118 the judgement of any one participant would be influenced by financial or institutional incentives tied
119 to specific policy outcomes or technology preferences.

120 To ensure transparency in variable selection, explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria were
121 established prior to the group discussion. Variables were considered for inclusion if they met
122 following criteria: (a) repeatedly identified as a core influencing factor in the literature on HQDCI;
123 (b) supported by accessible statistical data; and (c) theoretically linked to either TFP or CE. Variables
124 were excluded if they: (a) could not be quantified or lacked reliable data; (b) exhibited high overlap
125 with already included variables; or (c) fell outside the system boundary of this study.

126 Informed by the expert panel, key variables and their causal links were identified through a
127 structured four-step process:

Step 1: Introduction and task briefing

An offline kick-off meeting was held, in which the facilitator (the author) presented the research aims, introduced the system dynamics methodology, outlined the workshop procedure, and described the expected model outputs. The workshop lasted 2.5 hours in total, with the following time allocation: 60 minutes for variables screening and confirmation, 60 minutes for causal relationships identification, and 30 minutes for consensus confirmation.

Step 2: Screening and confirming subsystem variables

Participants were divided into two heterogeneous groups. Each group independently discussed and refined a preliminary list of factors drawn from the literature, focusing on the identification of key determinants influencing the HQDCI. The groups subsequently presented their selections. The facilitator then guided a plenary discussion to evaluate the representativeness and independence of the proposed variables, merging those with high overlap or strong correlation. This process yielded a finalized set of variables across five subsystems, as presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Subsystem factors

Subsystem	Factors	References
Investment	Real estate development investment	(Kong et al., 2016; Okunevičiūtė Neverauskienė et al., 2025)
	Fixed-asset investment of construction enterprises	(Shi et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2022a)
	Current-asset investment of construction enterprises	(Al-Kasasbeh et al., 2021; Jin et al., 2021)
Labor	Number of migrant workers	(Cao et al., 2022; Ye et al., 2019)
	Number of employees in the construction industry	(Assaad & El-adaway, 2021; Ma et al., 2022)
	Education level per capita among employees in the construction industry	(Bambi & Pea-Assounga, 2025; Meng & Wen, 2024)

Subsystem	Factors	References
Output	Labor productivity in the construction industry	(Lu et al., 2021; Zhang & Zhang, 2024)
	Building construction area	(Wu et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024b)
	Completed floor area of buildings	(Berardi, 2017; Wu et al., 2019)
	Demolition floor area of buildings	(Sizirici et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2023)
	Stock of building area	(Berardi, 2017; Wu et al., 2019)
	Total output value of the construction industry	(Wang et al., 2020a; Zhang & Zhang, 2024)
	Total profit of construction enterprises	(Rajala et al., 2022; Yadav et al., 2022)
Technology	Per capita profit in the construction industry	(Meiryani et al., 2020; Xiang et al., 2024)
	Robot substitution rate	(Ma et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2019)
	Own machinery and equipment at the end of the year	(Zhang et al., 2024c; Zhu et al., 2022a)
	R&D investment	(Bambi & Pea-Assounga, 2025; Lei et al., 2025)
Environment	TFP	(Wang et al., 2020b; Zhu et al., 2022a)
	Energy consumption	(Du et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019)
	Building materials consumption	(Chen et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2024b)
	Energy consumption from the building operation	(Du et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2024b)
	Carbon emission from building demolition	(Zhao et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2022b)
	Carbon emission from the construction industry	(Du et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022b)
	Carbon emission per unit of output value in the construction industry	(Du et al., 2019; Gan et al., 2022)

Step 3: Identifying causal relationships

Each group analyzed the causal links among the agreed-upon variables, guided by several core

144 questions: “What factors influence TFP?” and “What factors influence CE?” The groups identified
145 both positive and negative causal relationships between variables, and further discussed whether these
146 connections form reinforcing loops or balancing loops, and how these feedbacks shape the dynamic
147 evolution of HQDCI. The two groups subsequently presented their diagrams to each other, engaging
148 in focused debate where their interpretations differed. Throughout this process, the facilitator
149 documented the independent views of both groups and facilitated a plenary discussion after each
150 group’s presentation. For causal relationships where disagreements persisted, the following resolution
151 procedure was applied: (a) members holding differing views were invited to present their
152 justifications; (b) the facilitator guides all members to discuss based on literature evidence and
153 industry experience; and (c) if disagreement remained, the causal relationship was included on the
154 basis of majority agreement. Through several rounds of discussion, a consensus was reached on the
155 main feedback loops in the system.

156 Step 4: Finalizing the causal loop diagram

157 Using the consensus feedback structure, the author constructed a causal loop diagram in Vensim
158 and circulated it to all participants for feedback. After incorporating suggestions and refinements, the
159 diagram was reviewed and unanimously approved by the expert panel. The final version is presented
160 in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Causal loop diagram

The causal loop diagram identifies five major feedback loops, consisting of three reinforcing loops (R1–R3) and two balancing loops (B1–B2), which together shape the interactions within the HQDCI.

R1: Total profit serves as a key source of funding for corporate expansion (Yadav et al., 2022). Higher profits strengthen a firm's ability to expand operations, increasing the building construction area (Rajala et al., 2022). This expansion generates economies of scale, which further raise total profit (Wu et al., 2023).

R2: Increased fixed-asset investment drives technological upgrading (Lu et al., 2021), reflected in improved production capacity of machinery and equipment (Shehadeh et al., 2021). This raises the industry's total output value, which signals market vitality and stimulates further fixed-asset investment (Wang et al., 2020a).

R3: Sustained economic growth and market demand boost fixed-asset investment, expanding

175 construction scale and increasing total output value (Hung et al., 2019). The rise in output value in
176 turn encourages additional fixed-asset investment (Wang et al., 2020a).

177 B1: Labor productivity (total output value per employee) influences total profit (Xu et al., 2022).
178 Higher productivity raises profit and per-capita profit, attracting more employees (Serrasqueiro et al.,
179 2023). However, with output held constant, a larger workforce reduces labor productivity.

180 B2: Per-capita profit (total profit divided by employee count) is negatively related to industry
181 employment. A decline in per-capita profit weakens firms' expansion capacity, reducing demand for
182 new labor and thus lowering employment (Xiang et al., 2024).

183 TFP is shaped by three primary dimensions: capital input, labor input, and economic output.
184 Capital input includes both current and fixed asset investment of construction enterprises. Labor input
185 consists of the number of employees and their average education level within the industry. Economic
186 output is represented by the total output value of construction.

187 CE is driven by four main sources: consumption of building materials, energy use during
188 construction (Zhang et al., 2024b), operational energy consumption of buildings (Wu et al., 2019),
189 and emission generated from building demolition (Du et al., 2019).

190 2.1.3 Main equations and stock flow diagram

191 Based on the causal loop diagram, a stock flow diagram was constructed as shown in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Stock flow diagram

Equations were established to define relationships among variables, thereby quantifying interactions between system factors. For variables lacking explicit functional relationships, linear multiple regression equations were developed using SPSSPRO software to construct functional relationships (Qayoom & H.W. Hadikusumo, 2019). Parameters and equations for main variables are presented in Table 3.

Table 3 Parameters and equations for main variables

Variable	Equation	Unit	R ²
Fixed-asset investment of construction enterprises	Fixed-asset investment of construction enterprises=INTEG (Fixed-asset investment increment,12847.8)	10 ⁸ CNY	-
Fixed-asset investment index	Fixed-asset investment index=0.53+0.465*Total output value index	-	0.614
Number of migrant workers	Number of migrant workers=INTEG (Increase in migrant workers,24223)	10 ⁴ person	-
Labor productivity	Labor productivity in the construction	10 ⁸ CNY/10 ⁴ person	-

Variable	Equation	Unit	R ²
in the construction industry	industry=Total output value of the construction industry/Number of employees in the construction industry		
Total output value of the construction industry	Total output value of the construction industry=EXP (-1.202-0.212*LN(Power of own machinery and equipment at the end of the year)+0.264*LN(Delay in R&D investment)+1.041*LN(Building construction area))	10 ⁸ CNY	0.990
Total profit of construction enterprises	Total profit of construction enterprises=EXP(3.342+0.007* Labor productivity in the construction industry +0.423*LN(Building construction area)-0.015*Energy consumption per unit of construction area*10000-0.794* material consumption per unit of construction area)	10 ⁸ CNY	0.968
Net value of own machinery and equipment at the end of the year	Net value of Own machinery and equipment at the end of the year=Fixed-asset investment of construction enterprises* Proportion of machinery and equipment in fixed assets	10 ⁸ CNY	-
TFP	TFP=LN(Total output value of the construction industry)-0.661*LN(Fixed-asset investment of construction enterprises)-0.359*LN(Current-asset investment of construction enterprises)-0.454*LN(Number of employees in the construction industry*Education level per capita among employees in the construction industry/10000)	-	0.989
Carbon emission from the	Carbon emission from the construction industry=Carbon emission from building	10 ⁴ t	-

Variable	Equation	Unit	R ²
construction industry	material production+Carbon emission from the construction stage+Carbon emission from building operation stage+Carbon emission from building demolition		

2.2 Model test

2.2.1 Structure test

Structure test has been used to assess the consistency of the model structure with the actual situation (Tu et al., 2023). In this study, the model was validated by reviewing the relevant literature, and test result confirmed its structure was logical and consistent with the actual situation. Thus, the model passed the structure test.

2.2.2 Boundary-adequacy test

Boundary-adequacy test aims to ensure that the model includes all key variables relevant to the study objectives (Zhang et al., 2021). In this study, the model highlights the main variables and has a clear system boundary. Therefore, the model passed the boundary-adequacy test.

2.2.3 Historicity test

Historicity test assesses model validity by comparing simulation results with historical data through relative error. The relative error is calculated according to Equation (1).

$$D = \frac{X'_t - X_t}{X_t} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

In the equation, X'_t denotes the simulated value of the model at time t , X_t represents the historical actual value at time t , and D indicates the deviation level.

The relative error range of the primary variables in this study fell within $\pm 10\%$, indicating that the simulated values of each variable were largely consistent with historical data (Zhu et al., 2024). Therefore, the model passed the historicity test. Table 4 reports the historicity test results for

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

220 Table 4 Historicity test results for variables

Year	Fixed assets investment of			Number of employees in the			Total profit of construction			Building construction area		
	construction enterprises (100			construction industry (10000			enterprises (100 million yuan)			(10000 square meters)		
	million yuan)			people)								
	HV	HV	ER	HV	HV	ER	HV	HV	ER	HV	HV	ER
2010	12847.77	12847.80	0.00%	4708.29	4477.07	-4.91%	3409.07	3716.18	9.01%	708024	708024	0.00%
2011	14059.60	14417.00	2.54%	4996.20	4854.70	-2.83%	4168.20	4172.47	0.10%	851828	860612	1.03%
2012	15476.93	15629.30	0.98%	4629.11	5072.72	9.58%	4776.14	4899.12	2.57%	986428	1004200	1.80%
2013	16894.72	16905.70	0.06%	5026.53	5321.63	5.87%	6079.25	5994.55	-1.39%	1132003	1137910	0.52%
2014	17940.31	17796.50	-0.80%	5563.45	5592.09	0.51%	6407.13	6519.30	1.75%	1249826	1236340	-1.08%
2015	18823.20	18646.60	-0.94%	5578.49	5697.52	2.13%	6451.23	6984.61	8.27%	1239718	1284370	3.60%
2016	18979.84	19711.50	3.85%	5743.97	5837.42	1.63%	6986.05	7198.24	3.04%	1264216	1342490	6.19%
2017	19974.40	20385.40	2.06%	6148.46	5929.32	-3.56%	7491.78	7448.50	-0.58%	1318374	1397610	6.01%
2018	21627.60	21219.60	-1.89%	5946.87	6006.83	1.01%	7974.82	7649.48	-4.08%	1371995	1462670	6.61%
2019	20799.80	21701.00	4.33%	6216.15	6089.23	-2.04%	8279.55	7885.07	-4.76%	1441505	1535740	6.54%
2020	21171.09	21865.00	3.28%	6241.40	6071.47	-2.72%	8447.74	8593.80	1.73%	1494754	1580270	5.72%
2021	21941.76	21956.70	0.07%	6193.93	6285.95	1.49%	8470.81	8578.20	1.27%	1575464	1602070	1.69%
2022	23062.26	22781.20	-1.22%	6274.49	6290.30	0.25%	8381.46	9101.32	8.59%	1556364	1639300	5.33%

221 Note: HV = Historical value; SV = Simulation value; ER = Error.

222 2.3 Scenario design

223 This study designed three scenario types: the baseline scenario, single-factor scenario, and multi-
224 factor scenario.

225 2.3.1 Baseline scenario

226 In the baseline scenario, the HQDCI system continues under its current development mode, with
227 all parameters held at their present levels. This setup simulates a business-as-usual evolutionary path.
228 The results serve as a reference for evaluating the effects of various interventions in subsequent
229 single-factor and multi-factor scenarios.

230 2.3.2 Single-factor scenario

231 Currently, the construction industry faces challenges such as technological lag and resource
232 dependence (Liu et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2025). To assess how policy priorities could address these
233 issues, this study designs a set of single-factor scenarios along two dimensions: intelligentization and
234 greenization.

235 To tackle technological lag, an intelligentization-focused scenario was established: the robot
236 substitution rate growth scenario (A1). As China's construction industry faces structural labor
237 shortages and an urgent need to upgrade, robot substitution has taken center stage as the most
238 representative direction of intelligentization in policy and practice.

239 To reduce resource dependency, three greenization-oriented scenarios were formulated: material
240 consumption per unit of construction area reduction (B1), energy consumption per unit of
241 construction area reduction (B2), and operational carbon emission coefficient reduction for traditional
242 buildings (B3). These scenarios focused on measures such as reducing energy and material
243 consumption, covering the main aspects of the greenization transformation of the construction
244 industry.

Each scenario includes three development paces: steady, relatively fast, and rapid. For the intelligentization scenario (A1), the parameter settings are anchored on the documented robot–labor substitution rate in manufacturing as a reference benchmark, given the absence of construction-specific historical data and quantitative policy targets. The average substitution effect in manufacturing is approximately 2.6% (Cheng et al. 2018). Considering the higher technical and economic barriers in construction—such as complex site conditions and fragmented project-based operations—the initial penetration rate is expected to be lower. However, once key technical adaptations are achieved, catch-up growth could accelerate and eventually surpass the manufacturing benchmark. Based on this benchmark and the construction sector’s distinct characteristics, the annual growth rates were set at 1% (steady, representing slow initial diffusion), 2% (relatively fast, approaching the manufacturing average), and 3% (rapid, reflecting accelerated catch-up beyond the benchmark).

For the greenization scenarios (B1–B3), the rates were calibrated using historical data from China’s construction industry (2010–2022). The annual decrease in material consumption per unit floor area averaged 1.4% and accelerated to 2% after 2013 under green policy incentives; energy consumption per unit floor area declined at a stable rate of approximately 1.5% per year after 2015; and the operational carbon emission coefficient of conventional buildings fell by 2% per year after peaking in 2013. Based on these trends, we set the annual reduction rates at –1% (a conservative baseline), –1.5% (consistent with the sustained energy-efficiency improvement rate), and –2% (matching the historically highest reduction rate, representing a rapid, technology-driven scenario).

2.3.3 Multi-factor scenario

As HQDCI is often shaped by multiple concurrent factors, multi-factor scenarios were designed to capture the reinforcing or balancing effects that emerge from their interactions (Hao et al., 2019).

Specifically, the three development paces in the intelligentization dimension (steady, relatively fast, rapid) were combined with the three parallel paces in the greenization dimension, resulting in nine multi-factor scenarios (see Table 5).

Table 5 Multi-factor scenario design

Dimension		Greenization		
		Steady	Relatively fast	Rapid
Intelligentization	Steady	case1	case2	case3
	Relatively fast	case4	case5	case6
	Rapid	case7	case8	case9


2.4 Data sources

This study draws on data from the period 2010–2022, primarily sourced from comprehensive and industry-specific statistical yearbooks published by the National Bureau of Statistics of China. Macro-economic and social-level indicators were obtained from the China Statistical Yearbook. Industry-specific data—covering investment, output, labor, and other dimensions of construction—were extracted systematically from the China Construction Industry Statistical Yearbook. Labor-quality indicators came from the China Labor Statistical Yearbook, while energy-consumption figures were drawn from the China Energy Statistical Yearbook. Data on research and development activities within the construction industry, used to analyze technological innovation inputs, were sourced from the China Science and Technology Statistical Yearbook. The various conversion factors used to calculate carbon emissions are primarily based on the Standard for the Calculation of Carbon Emissions from Buildings, the China Energy Statistical Yearbook and relevant domestic and international industry research. Regarding the handling of missing data, this study employed a grey-model to make supplementary estimates.

286 **3 Results**

287 *3.1 Baseline scenario analysis*

288 Fig. 3 presents the simulation results of the HQDCI system dynamics model under the baseline
289 scenario. As shown in Fig. 3(a), TFP from 2023 to 2035 settles below its 2010 level throughout the
290 simulation period. Fig. 3(b) indicates that CE from the construction industry peaks in 2032. These
291 outcomes imply that, under business-as-usual conditions, the industry fails to achieve meaningful
292 TFP growth and does not reach carbon peak before 2030.



293
294 Fig. 3. Baseline scenario simulation results

295 *3.2 Single-factor scenario analysis*

296 The simulation results of the single-factor scenarios are presented in Table 6.

297 Table 6 Simulation results of the single-factor scenarios

Scenario	Development pace	TFP	CE	Peak time of CE
A1	Steady	8.14%	0.49%	2032
	Relatively fast	17.36%	1.09%	2033
	Rapid	27.99%	1.83%	2033
B1	Steady	0.17%	-4.20%	2031
	Relatively fast	0.25%	-6.13%	2030
	Rapid	0.32%	-7.95%	2029
B2	Steady	0.36%	0.35%	2032
	Relatively fast	0.52%	0.51%	2032

Scenario	Development pace	TFP	CE	Peak time of CE
B3	Rapid	0.67%	0.67%	2033
	Steady	/	-7.34%	2029
	Relatively fast	/	-10.96%	2028
	Rapid	/	-13.84%	2027

3.2.1 Robot substitution rate growth scenario (A1)

In scenario A1, as the pace of robot substitution accelerates from steady to rapid, the growth rate of TFP rises from 8.14% to 27.99%. However, CE from the construction industry also increases, with its growth rate climbing from 0.49% to 1.83%. Moreover, the carbon peak under all three patterns occurs after 2030. These results indicate that while robot substitution effectively enhances TFP, pursuing it in isolation intensifies the challenge of reducing CE.

3.2.2 Material consumption reduction per unit of construction area scenario (B1)

In scenario B1, the implementation pace intensifies from steady to rapid, the TFP growth rate rises from 0.17% to 0.32%, while the CE reduction rate expands from 4.2% to 7.95%. The CE peak also shifts earlier, moving from 2031 under the steady pattern to 2029 under the rapid pattern. These outcomes indicate that a faster reduction in material consumption per unit of construction area leads to stronger improvements in TFP, deeper cuts in CE, and an earlier attainment of the emission peak.

3.2.3 Energy consumption reduction per unit of construction area scenario (B2)

In scenario B2, as the intensity of energy-saving efforts is raised from a steady to a rapid pace, TFP shows a gradual increase, with its growth rate rising from 0.36% to 0.67%. In contrast, CE does not decline but instead grows at rates ranging from 0.35% to 0.67% across the patterns, and the CE peak occurs after 2030 in all cases. These results indicate that merely reducing energy consumption per unit of construction area can support TFP improvement but does not contribute to—and may even hinder—the achievement of CE reduction targets.

3.2.4 Operational carbon emission coefficient reduction of traditional buildings scenario (B3)

Based on the causal relationship shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, the operational carbon emission coefficient of traditional buildings does not directly affect TFP. Thus, scenario B3 focuses exclusively on its impact on construction CE. As presented in Table 6, the CE reduction rates under the steady, relatively fast, and rapid growth patterns are 7.34%, 10.96%, and 13.84%, respectively. These results indicate that a faster reduction in the operational carbon emission coefficient leads to a greater decline in CE. Furthermore, the carbon peak occurs before 2030 in all cases, with the earliest peak (2027) achieved under the rapid pattern. These findings suggest that lowering the operational carbon emission coefficient of traditional buildings is the most effective single measure for reducing emission in the construction industry.

3.3 Multi-factor scenario analysis

As shown in Table 7, TFP in all multi-factor scenarios exceeds the baseline level. When the pace of intelligentization is held constant, TFP rises as greenization accelerates—evident in the sequences case1 < case2 < case3 (steady intelligentization), case4 < case5 < case6 (relatively fast intelligentization), and case7 < case8 < case9 (rapid intelligentization). Conversely, with a fixed pace of greenization, TFP increases as intelligentization accelerates, as seen in case1 < case4 < case7 (steady greenization), case2 < case5 < case8 (relatively fast greenization), and case3 < case6 < case9 (rapid greenization).

Table 7 Simulation results of the multi-factor scenarios

Case	TFP	CE	Peak time of CE	PEV (10 ⁴ t)	CPE
Case1	8.57%	-10.83%	2028	142167	-6.96%
Case2	8.76%	-16.05%	2026	138682	-9.24%
Case3	8.94%	-20.99%	2026	136034	-10.97%
Case4	17.68%	-10.30%	2028	142407	-6.80%

Case	TFP	CE	Peak time of CE	PEV (10 ⁴ t)	CPE
Case5	17.83%	-15.55%	2027	138790	-9.17%
Case6	17.96%	-20.52%	2026	136134	-10.91%
Case7	28.2%	-9.64%	2028	142666	-6.63%
Case8	28.29%	-14.93%	2027	138964	-9.05%
Case9	28.37%	-19.94%	2026	136238	-10.84%

Note: PEV refers to peak emission volume; CPE refers to change in peak emissions relative to the baseline; The baseline scenario peaks in 2032, with a carbon emission volume of 152800×10^4 t.

The highest TFP improvement (28.37% above baseline) occurs in case9, where both intelligentization and greenization develop rapidly. This indicates that simultaneously advancing the two dimensions at a rapid pace is the most effective way to enhance TFP.

As shown in Table 7, CE in all multi-factor scenarios is lower than in the baseline scenario. When the pace of intelligentization is held constant, CE declines as greenization accelerates: under steady intelligentization, CE decreases from case1 to case3; under relatively fast intelligentization, CE decreases from case4 to case6; and under rapid intelligentization, CE decreases from case7 to case9. This pattern confirms that faster greenization consistently enhances emission reduction when intelligentization remains unchanged, with the most pronounced effect occurring under rapid greenization. In such scenarios (see Table 7), CE peaks as early as 2026—six years ahead of the baseline—aligning with the carbon peak timing projected under deep-reduction pathways (Tan et al., 2018).

When the pace of greenization is held constant, accelerating intelligentization weakens the carbon reduction outcome: under steady greenization, CE rises from case1 to case4 to case7; under relatively fast greenization, CE rises from case2 to case5 to case8; and under rapid greenization, CE rises from case3 to case6 to case9.

Notably, case3—which combines steady intelligentization with rapid greenization—delivers the strongest emission reduction. Here, CE falls by 20.99 % relative to the baseline, with the carbon peak occurring six years earlier, and the peak emission reduction being the largest, at 10.97% (see Table 6). Notably, the baseline scenario is projected to peak in 2032 at 152800×10^4 t, meaning case3 achieves an absolute peak reduction of approximately 16766×10^4 t. Meanwhile, it still maintains a positive improvement in TFP, indicating that substantial emission reduction can be achieved without sacrificing productivity growth. In contrast, although more aggressive intelligentization pathways generate larger TFP gains, their emission-reduction performance is comparatively weaker. Within the background of HQDCI, carbon reduction represents a binding constraint associated with China's carbon peaking and carbon neutrality commitments. Therefore, among the scenarios that achieve positive TFP growth, greater weight is assigned to the pathway that delivers the largest emission reductions and the earliest carbon peak. Therefore, case3 represents the optimal pathway for HQDCI, as it achieves the best trade-off between productivity enhancement and emission reduction through rapid greenization supported by steady, well-paced intelligentization.

3.4 Sensitivity analysis

To verify the robustness of the conclusions to key parameter specifications, a sensitivity analysis was conducted by perturbing the annual growth and reduction rates by $\pm 0.05\%$. This perturbation magnitude reflects the typical year-to-year fluctuation observed in greenization indicators and, for intelligentization, constitutes a conservative deviation that preserves the relative positioning of the three diffusion paces against the manufacturing benchmark.

For the single-factor scenarios, the intelligentization rates (A1) were adjusted downward to 0.95% (steady), 1.95% (relatively fast), 2.95% (rapid) and upward to 1.05% (steady), 2.05% (relatively fast), 3.05% (rapid); the greenization rates (B1–B3) were adjusted downward to -0.95% (steady), -1.45%

377 (relatively fast), -1.95% (rapid) and upward to -1.05% (steady), -1.55% (relatively fast), -2.05%
378 (rapid). The simulation results are reported in Table 8. As shown, all scenarios retain their baseline
379 response patterns: faster intelligentization continues to raise both TFP and CE, while faster
380 greenization consistently deepens emission reductions and advances the peak year, with no sign
381 reversal or structural change observed.

382 Table 8 Sensitivity analysis results of the single-factor scenarios

Scenario	Development pace	Downward			Upward		
		TFP	CE	PT	TFP	CE	PT
A1	Steady	7.71%	0.46%	2032	8.57%	0.52%	2032
	Relatively fast	16.87%	1.06%	2033	17.85%	1.12%	2033
	Rapid	27.42%	1.79%	2033	28.57%	1.88%	2033
B1	Steady	0.16%	-4%	2031	0.18%	-4.4%	2031
	Relatively fast	0.24%	-5.94%	2030	0.25%	-6.32%	2030
	Rapid	0.31%	-7.78%	2029	0.33%	-8.13%	2029
B2	Steady	0.34%	0.33%	2032	0.38%	0.36%	2032
	Relatively fast	0.51%	0.49%	2032	0.54%	0.53%	2032
	Rapid	0.66%	0.65%	2033	0.69%	0.69%	2033
B3	Steady	/	-6.99%	2029	/	-7.68%	2029
	Relatively fast	/	-10.36%	2028	/	-11.01%	2028
	Rapid	/	-13.53%	2027	/	-14.14%	2027

383 Note: PT refers to peak time of CE.

384 For the multi-factor scenarios, sensitivity was assessed under two conditions. First, the
385 greenization rates were held constant at their baseline values while the intelligentization rates were
386 perturbed downward to 0.95% (steady), 1.95% (relatively fast), and 2.95% (rapid), and upward to
387 1.05% (steady), 2.05% (relatively fast), and 3.05% (rapid). Table 9 reports the results for this
388 condition. Across all perturbations of intelligentization, Case3 (rapid greenization with steady
389 intelligentization) consistently achieves the lowest CE and the earliest peak, with the CE reduction

390 ranging from 20.97% to 21.01% and the peak emission decline relative to baseline from 10.97% to
391 10.98%. Second, the intelligentization rates were held constant while the greenization rates were
392 perturbed downward to -0.95% (steady), -1.45% (relatively fast), and -1.95% (rapid), and upward
393 to -1.05% (steady), -1.55% (relatively fast), and -2.05% (rapid). Table 9 reports the results for this
394 condition. Under both downward and upward perturbations of greenization, Case3 again remains
395 the optimal pathway, with the CE reduction ranging from 20.51% to 21.47% and the peak emission
396 decline from 10.80% to 11.14%. In neither condition does an alternative parameter combination
397 overturn the superiority of Case3.

398 Taken together, the single-factor and multi-factor sensitivity analyses demonstrate that rapid
399 greenization combined with steady intelligentization (Case3) remains the optimal pathway under all
400 reasonable parameter perturbations, confirming that the core conclusion is robust to parameter
401 specification and is not an incidental result of a particular set of assumed rates.

402

403 Table 9 Sensitivity analysis results of the multi-factor scenarios (Greenization pace fixed)

Case	Intelligentization pace downward					Intelligentization pace upward				
	TFP (%)	CE (%)	PT	PEV (10 ⁴ t)	CPE (%)	TFP (%)	CE (%)	PT	PEV (10 ⁴ t)	CPE (%)
Case1	8.15%	-10.85%	2028	142155	-6.97%	9.00%	-10.80%	2028	142178	-6.95%
Case2	8.34%	-16.07%	2026	138677	-9.24%	9.19%	-16.03%	2026	138687	-9.24%
Case3	8.52%	-21.01%	2026	136029	-10.98%	9.36%	-20.97%	2026	136039	-10.97%
Case4	17.20%	-10.33%	2028	142394	-6.81%	18.17%	-10.27%	2028	142419	-6.79%
Case5	17.34%	-15.58%	2027	138782	-9.17%	18.31%	-15.52%	2027	138799	-9.16%
Case6	17.48%	-20.55%	2026	136129	-10.91%	18.44%	-20.5%	2026	136139	-10.90%
Case7	27.63%	-9.67%	2028	142653	-6.64%	28.77%	-9.6%	2028	142680	-6.62%
Case8	27.73%	-14.96%	2027	138955	-9.06%	28.86%	-14.89%	2027	138973	-9.05%
Case9	27.81%	-19.97%	2026	136233	-10.84%	28.94%	-19.91%	2026	136244	-10.84%

404 Note: PT refers to peak time of CE; PEV refers to peak emission volume; CPE refers to change in peak emissions relative to the baseline.

405

406 Table 10 Sensitivity analysis results of the multi-factor scenarios (Intelligentization pace fixed)

Case	Greenization pace downward					Greenization pace upward				
	TFP (%)	CE (%)	PT	PEV (10 ⁴ t)	CPE (%)	TFP (%)	CE (%)	PT	PEV (10 ⁴ t)	CPE (%)
Case1	8.55%	-10.29%	2028	142569	-6.70%	8.59%	-11.36%	2028	141766	-7.22%
Case2	8.74%	-15.54%	2027	138957	-9.06%	8.78%	-16.56%	2026	138416	-9.41%
Case3	8.92%	-20.51%	2026	136297	-10.8%	8.96%	-21.47%	2026	135771	-11.14%
Case4	17.67%	-9.76%	2028	142809	-6.54%	17.70%	-10.84%	2028	142005	-7.06%
Case5	17.81%	-15.04%	2027	139121	-8.95%	17.84%	-16.06%	2026	138517	-9.35%
Case6	17.95%	-20.04%	2026	136397	-10.73%	17.97%	-21.01%	2026	135871	-11.08%
Case7	28.19%	-9.09%	2028	143069	-6.37%	28.21%	-10.18%	2028	142264	-6.90%
Case8	28.28%	-14.41%	2027	139295	-8.84%	28.30%	-15.44%	2027	138634	-9.27%
Case9	28.36%	-19.45%	2026	136502	-10.67%	28.38%	-20.43%	2026	135975	-11.01%

407 Note: PT refers to peak time of CE; PEV refers to peak emission volume; CPE refers to change in peak emissions relative to the baseline.

408 **4 Discussion**

409 *4.1 Theoretical implications*

410 This study yields several theoretical implications.

411 First, by integrating TFP and CE into a unified analytical framework, it offers a more systemic
412 perspective for understanding the mechanisms of HQDCI. Previous research has tended to focus on
413 only one objective—either TFP improvement (Li et al., 2024; Wang & Wu, 2022) or CE reduction
414 (Li et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2025)—without adequately examining the potential
415 synergies and trade-offs between the two. As a result, the intrinsic dynamics of HQDCI have
416 remained unclear, hindering the identification of viable development pathways. To address this gap,
417 the present study developed a system model capable of jointly analyzing TFP and CE and conducted
418 scenario-based simulations. The results reveal that single-minded pursuit of TFP growth can impede
419 CE reduction, while focusing exclusively on CE cuts does not guarantee significant TFP gains,
420 indicating a mutually constraining relationship between the two objectives during HQDCI. By
421 establishing a coupled analytical framework, this research provides a basis for a more integrated
422 understanding of HQDCI mechanisms.

423 From a scenario-specific viewpoint, prioritizing TFP alone may adversely affect CE targets. For
424 instance, in scenario A1, raising the robot substitution rate improves TFP through process
425 optimization and accelerated production (Li et al., 2022), yet it also increases CE owing to
426 efficiency-induced rebound effects (Wang & Wei, 2020). Thus, TFP growth can coincide with higher
427 emission. This finding echoes the broader environmental economics literature, which suggests that
428 improvements in economic performance do not automatically translate into environmental benefits
429 and are often shaped by technological pathways and policy interventions (Acemoglu et al. 2012; Stern
430 2004). Conversely, measures aimed solely at CE reduction—such as lowering material use (scenario

431 B1) or reducing operational carbon coefficients (scenario B3)—often fail to stimulate industry-wide
432 technological progress, and therefore have limited impact on TFP (Zhang et al., 2024b). This suggests
433 that narrowly targeting emission reduction may not simultaneously drive substantial productivity
434 improvement.

435 Second, by accounting for interactions among factors and employing dynamic analytical tools,
436 this study clarifies the evolutionary dynamics of HQDCI. Much of the existing literature relies on
437 static frameworks to examine HQDCI pathways (Li & Ma, 2024; Zhang & Zhang, 2024), with limited
438 attention to how factors interact over time. Consequently, prior work has been unable to capture the
439 dynamic, multi-factor feedback that shapes system behavior or to reliably assess the long-term effects
440 of different interventions. To address this, the present study designed multiple scenarios along the
441 intelligentization and greenization dimensions, building on the interactions and feedback mechanisms
442 identified in the HQDCI system. These scenarios were simulated using a system dynamics model to
443 trace the system's evolution under varying conditions. The results show that TFP increases most
444 under simultaneous rapid intelligentization and greenization (case9), whereas the strongest CE
445 reduction occurs when greenization advances rapidly alongside steady intelligentization (case3).
446 Through this dynamic, scenario-based approach, the research reveals how multi-factor interactions
447 drive the long-term evolution of HQDCI.

448 Specifically, the largest improvement in TFP observed in case 9 may be mainly attributed to
449 accelerated advancement of intelligentization (Xu & Song, 2025), while greenization supports
450 productivity through resource efficiency. However, the scaling effect of rapid intelligentization also
451 raises resource and energy consumption (Lei & Kocoglu, 2025; Yang & Li, 2017), partly offsetting
452 the emission-reduction benefits of greenization. This finding aligns with the directed technical change
453 perspective, which emphasizes that the environmental consequences of technological progress

454 depend on the direction of innovation. Technological advancement focused primarily on productivity
455 enhancement does not necessarily lead to lower emissions unless it is accompanied by sufficient
456 green-oriented innovation (Acemoglu et al., 2012). Similarly, Aghion et al. (2016) argue that
457 sustainable green growth depends not only on the pace of technological progress but also on whether
458 innovation is directed toward environmentally sustainable development. By contrast, case3 achieves
459 optimal CE reduction by emphasizing green building materials and lower construction energy use (Li
460 et al., 2021). Here, moderate intelligentization bolsters efficiency without inducing large-scale
461 resource expansion, allowing greenization to deliver sustained emission cuts.

462 These multi-factor simulations illustrate that accelerating only one dimension can enhance one
463 objective while undermining another, due to the feedback and trade-offs embedded in the system.
464 Hence, identifying robust HQDCI pathways requires a systemic, multi-objective perspective that
465 explicitly accounts for factor interactions and dynamic mechanisms.

466 *4.2 Practical implications*

467 This study reveals that if China's construction industry continues its current development mode,
468 achieving high-quality development will remain out of reach. Moreover, a development mode
469 emphasizing only greenization or intelligentization cannot simultaneously fulfill the dual objectives
470 of substantial TFP growth and CE reduction. The optimal pathway for HQDCI is to prioritize rapid
471 greenization alongside steady intelligentization.

472 The scenario analysis suggests that a balanced pathway combining rapid greenization with
473 moderate intelligentization provides the most favorable trade-off between productivity improvement
474 and emission reduction. Policy efforts should place greater emphasis on accelerating greenization, as
475 it contributes directly to emission reduction while supporting long-term high-quality development.
476 To accelerate greenization, this industry should promote green materials, enhance material recycling,

477 adopt refined management, and optimize construction techniques—measures that reduce emission
478 from material production and construction phases. However, the deployment of green materials and
479 energy-efficient technologies often involves substantial upfront investment costs. Therefore,
480 appropriate policy support, such as financial incentives, subsidies, or carbon-pricing mechanisms,
481 may be necessary to facilitate their large-scale adoption. Governments and the sector should also
482 strengthen operational-phase efficiency by raising building energy standards, retrofitting existing
483 stock, and promoting low-carbon awareness.

484 At the same time, intelligentization should be advanced in a gradual and targeted manner. While
485 rapid intelligentization can substantially improve productivity, the simulation results indicate that
486 excessive expansion of intelligentization production capacity may partially offset emission-reduction
487 benefits through increased resource and energy demand. Therefore, intelligentization technologies
488 should be deployed strategically to improve construction accuracy, reduce material waste, and
489 optimize energy management, thereby supporting both productivity growth and environmental
490 performance. Policy guidance should ensure that the promotion of intelligentization technologies is
491 coordinated with resource and environmental carrying capacity, avoiding unchecked capacity
492 expansion that could undermine the emission reduction gains achieved through greenization.
493 Moreover, the advancement of intelligent construction requires parallel improvements in digital
494 infrastructure and workforce capabilities. Given the substantial regional disparities in economic
495 development and technological readiness, policy measures should be tailored to local conditions
496 rather than adopting a uniform implementation approach.

497 *4.3 Limitations and future work*

498 While this study offers important insights, several limitations should be acknowledged. First,
499 this study simulated a limited number of scenarios, and future research could extend the scenario

500 design to include a broader range of policy variables and development pathways. Second, this study
501 relied primarily on industry-level macro data, and future research could incorporate firm-level panel
502 data to better reflect micro-level variations across enterprises. Third, this study's nationally
503 aggregated findings may not fully capture regional variations due to China's geographical and
504 economic disparities, and future research could draw on regional data to build differentiated modes
505 for more localized guidance. In addition, several extensions could further enhance the analytical
506 framework. Future research could adopt standard multi-criteria decision-making techniques to
507 evaluate alternative development pathways under different policy preferences. Incorporating
508 economic cost variables and implementation constraints into the model would also enable quantitative
509 cost – benefit assessment of intelligentization and greenization measures. Finally, extending the
510 current sector-level framework to include cross-sectoral interactions and broader macroeconomic
511 feedback mechanisms would allow for a more comprehensive evaluation of the economy-wide
512 impacts of the construction industry's transition toward intelligentization and greenization.

513 **5 Conclusions**

514 To explore the optimal pathway for HQDCI under the dual constraints of TFP growth and CE
515 reduction, this study developed a system dynamics model that integrates TFP and CE. Scenario
516 simulation results indicated that continuing the current development mode would prevent China's
517 construction industry from achieving significant TFP growth and reaching the carbon peak target
518 before 2030. Moreover, a development mode focusing solely on either greenization or
519 intelligentization is insufficient to simultaneously advance both objectives. The optimal pathway for
520 HQDCI is to prioritize rapid greenization alongside steady intelligentization.

521 **Acknowledgements**

522 This study was funded by the Philosophical and Social Science Research Project of Universities

523 in Jiangsu Province [grant number 2025SJYB1254] and Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation
524 Program of Jiangsu Province [grant number KYCX25-3750].

525 **References**

- 526 Acemoglu, D., Aghion, P., Bursztyn, L., & Hémous, D. (2012). The Environment and Directed
527 Technical Change. *American Economic Review*, 102(1), 131–166.
528 <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.102.1.131>
- 529 Aghion, P., Dechezleprêtre, A., Hémous, D., Martin, R., & Reenen, J. V. (2016). Carbon Taxes, Path
530 Dependency, and Directed Technical Change: Evidence from the Auto Industry.
- 531 Al-Kasasbeh, M., Abudayyeh, O., & Liu, H. (2021). An integrated decision support system for
532 building asset management based on BIM and Work Breakdown Structure. *Journal of Building*
533 *Engineering*, 34, 101959. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101959>
- 534 Assaad, R., & El-adaway, I. H. (2021). Impact of Dynamic Workforce and Workplace Variables on
535 the Productivity of the Construction Industry: New Gross Construction Productivity Indicator.
536 *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 37(1), 04020092.
537 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000862](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000862)
- 538 Bambi, P. D. R., & Pea-Assounga, J. B. B. (2025). Unraveling the interplay of research investment,
539 educational attainment, human capital development, and economic advancement in technological
540 innovation: A panel VAR approach. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(3), 3309–3341.
541 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12938-y>
- 542 Berardi, U. (2017). A cross-country comparison of the building energy consumptions and their trends.
543 *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 123, 230–241.
544 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.03.014>
- 545 Cao, X., Qin, P., & Zhang, P. (2022). Knowledge Transfer Characteristics of Construction Workers

546 Based on Social Network Analysis. *Buildings*, 12(11), 1876.
 547 <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12111876>

548 Chen, W., Yang, S., Zhang, X., Jordan, N. D., & Huang, J. (2022). Embodied energy and carbon
 549 emissions of building materials in China. *Building and Environment*, 207, 108434.
 550 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108434>

551 Cheng, H., Chen, W., & Li, T. (2018). Robots in China: status, future and impact-empirical evidence
 552 from the China employer-employee survey (CEES). *Journal of Macro-quality Research*.
 553 <https://doi.org/10.13948/j.cnki.hgzlyj.2018.9.001>

554 Ding, Z., Yi, G., Tam, V. W. Y., & Huang, T. (2016). A system dynamics-based environmental
 555 performance simulation of construction waste reduction management in China. *Waste*
 556 *Management*, 51, 130–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.03.001>

557 Du, Q., Shao, L., Zhou, J., Huang, N., Bao, T., & Hao, C. (2019). Dynamics and scenarios of carbon
 558 emissions in China's construction industry. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 48, 101556.
 559 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101556>

560 Gan, L., Liu, Y., Shi, Q., Cai, W., & Ren, H. (2022). Regional inequality in the carbon emission
 561 intensity of public buildings in China. *Building and Environment*, 225, 109657.
 562 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109657>

563 Gong, M., & Zhang, N. (2023). Drivers of China's high-quality development: The role of intangible
 564 factors. *Economic Modelling*, 124, 106294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2023.106294>

565 Hao, Jian, Chen, L., & Zhang, N. (2022). A Statistical Review of Considerations on the
 566 Implementation Path of China's "Double Carbon" Goal. *Sustainability*, 14(18), 11274.
 567 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811274>

568 Hao, Jianli, Yuan, H., Liu, J., Chin, C. S., & Lu, W. (2019). A model for assessing the economic
 569 performance of construction waste reduction. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 232, 427–440.

570 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.348>

571 Hu, F., Guo, Y., Wang, K., Xie, J., Ding, H., & Chen, J. (2025). Evaluation of Regional Carbon
572 Emission Reduction Capacity and Complex Collaborative Development: An Empirical Study of
573 the Yangtze River Delta Region. *Processes*, 13(5), 1397. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr13051397>

574 Hung, C. C. W., Hsu, S.-C., Pratt, S., Chen, P.-C., Lee, C.-J., & Chan, A. P. C. (2019). Quantifying
575 the Linkages and Leakages of Construction Activities in an Open Economy Using Multiregional
576 Input–Output Analysis. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 35(1), 04018054.
577 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000653](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000653)

578 Jin, C., Li, Q., Jiang, L., Ye, X., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Measurement for overcapacity and its influencing
579 factors on the construction industry—evidence from China’s provincial data. *Environmental*
580 *Science and Pollution Research*, 28(7), 7883–7892. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11045-y>

581 Kong, Y., Glascock, J., & Lu-Andrews, R. (2016). An Investigation into Real Estate Investment and
582 Economic Growth in China: A Dynamic Panel Data Approach. *Sustainability*, 8(1), 66.
583 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8010066>

584 Lei, X., & Kocoglu, M. (2025). When good intentions meet bad outcomes: Evidence from China’s
585 cleaner production mandate. *Energy Economics*, 152, 109030.
586 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.109030>

587 Lei, X., Xu, J., & Zhang, Z. (2025). Can the Energy Rights Trading System Become the New Engine
588 for Corporate Carbon Reduction? Evidence from China’s Heavy-Polluting Industries.
589 *Sustainability*, 17(18), 8226. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17188226>

590 Lei, X., & Xu, X. (2025). From City Wisdom to Corporate Action: Climate-Adaptive City
591 Construction and Corporate Environmental Responsibility. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 17(4),
592 1027–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-24-0136.1>

593 Li, B., He, Z., Peters, R., Allender, S., Zou, Y., Zhou, W., et al. (2023). Cultural adaptations and

methodological innovations to group model building for the systems actions to reduce malnutrition in all its forms in Southeast Asian countries and China (SYSTAM CHINA-SEACS International Consortium) project. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 20(1), 111. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-023-01510-5>

Li, D., Huang, G., Zhu, S., Chen, L., & Wang, J. (2021). How to peak carbon emissions of provincial construction industry? Scenario analysis of Jiangsu Province. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 144, 110953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.110953>

Li, H., Zhang, L., Liu, J., Zhang, J., Gao, Z., Liu, Y., et al. (2024). The High-Quality Development of the Construction Industry in the Context of the Digital Economy—Based on the Mediating Effect of Entrepreneurship. *Buildings*, 14(11), 3490. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14113490>

Li, Yan, & Ma, G. (2024). A Study on the High-Quality Development Path and Implementation Countermeasures of China's Construction Industry toward the Carbon Peaking and Carbon Neutralization Goals. *Sustainability*, 16(2), 772. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020772>

Li, Yaya, Zhang, Y., Pan, A., Han, M., & Veglianti, E. (2022). Carbon emission reduction effects of industrial robot applications: Heterogeneity characteristics and influencing mechanisms. *Technology in Society*, 70, 102034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102034>

Li, Youhui, Chen, B., Guo, L., & Kang, J. (2025). Analysis of the impact of the digital economy system on carbon emissions and carbon footprint from the perspective of high-quality development. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 13, 1443405. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1443405>

Liu, D., Zhu, X., & Wang, Y. (2021). China's agricultural green total factor productivity based on carbon emission: An analysis of evolution trend and influencing factors. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 278, 123692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123692>

Liu, K., Meng, Q., Kong, Q., & Zhang, X. (2022). Review on the Developments of Structure,

618 Construction Automation, and Monitoring of Intelligent Construction. *Buildings*, 12(11), 1890.
619 <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12111890>

620 Lu, H., Zhang, Q., Cui, Q., Luo, Y., Pishdad-Bozorgi, P., & Hu, X. (2021). How Can Information
621 Technology Use Improve Construction Labor Productivity? An Empirical Analysis from China.
622 *Sustainability*, 13(10), 5401. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105401>

623 Ma, X., Mao, C., & Liu, G. (2022). Can robots replace human beings? —Assessment on the
624 developmental potential of construction robot. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 56, 104727.
625 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2022.104727>

626 Meiryani, Olivia, Sudrajat, J., & Mat, Z. (2020). The Effect of Firm's Size on Corporate Performance.
627 *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 11(5).
628 <https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2020.0110536>

629 Meng, F., & Wen, X. (2024). Quality of education, ageing and labor productivity. *PLOS ONE*, 19(12),
630 e0314367. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0314367>

631 Okunevičiūtė Neverauskienė, L., Tvaronavičienė, M., & Linkevičius, D. (2025). Energy Efficiency,
632 CO2 Emission Reduction, and Real Estate Investment in Northern Europe: Trends and Impact on
633 Sustainability. *Buildings*, 15(7), 1195. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15071195>

634 Qayoom, A., & H.W. Hadikusumo, B. (2019). Multilevel safety culture affecting organization safety
635 performance: a system dynamic approach. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural*
636 *Management*, 26(10), 2326–2346. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-08-2018-0355>

637 Rajala, P., Ylä-Kujala, A., Sinkkonen, T., & Kärri, T. (2022). Profitability in construction: how does
638 building renovation business fare compared to new building business. *Construction Management*
639 *and Economics*, 40(3), 223–237. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2022.2032228>

640 Serrasqueiro, Z., Pinto, B., & Sardo, F. (2023). SMEs growth and profitability, productivity and debt
641 relationships. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, 28(56), 404–419.

642 <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEFAS-01-2022-0018>

643 Shehadeh, A., Alshboul, O., Al Mamlook, R. E., & Hamedat, O. (2021). Machine learning models for
 644 predicting the residual value of heavy construction equipment: An evaluation of modified decision
 645 tree, LightGBM, and XGBoost regression. *Automation in Construction*, 129, 103827.
 646 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103827>

647 Shi, Q., Liang, Q., Wang, J., Huo, T., Gao, J., You, K., & Cai, W. (2023). Dynamic scenario
 648 simulations of phased carbon peaking in China's building sector through 2030–2050. *Sustainable*
 649 *Production and Consumption*, 35, 724–734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2022.12.003>

650 Sizirici, B., Fseha, Y., Cho, C.-S., Yildiz, I., & Byon, Y.-J. (2021). A Review of Carbon Footprint
 651 Reduction in Construction Industry, from Design to Operation. *Materials*, 14(20), 6094.
 652 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14206094>

653 Solow, R. M. (1957). Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function. *The Review of*
 654 *Economics and Statistics*, 39(3), 312. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1926047>

655 Song, M., Tao, W., & Shen, Z. (2022). Improving high-quality development with environmental
 656 regulation and industrial structure in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 366, 132997.
 657 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132997>

658 Stern, D. I. (2004). The Rise and Fall of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *World Development*, 32(8),
 659 1419–1439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2004.03.004>

660 Sun, R., Yu, N., Hu, D., Yang, S., & Li, H. (2023). What is the degree of high-quality development of
 661 oil–gas resource-based cities in China: based on a new total factor productivity measurement
 662 method. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 27(5), 10807–10834.
 663 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-04333-3>

664 Tan, X., Lai, H., Gu, B., Zeng, Y., & Li, H. (2018). Carbon emission and abatement potential outlook
 665 in China's building sector through 2050. *Energy Policy*, 118, 429–439.

666 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.03.072>

667 Tu, B., Zuo, J., Chang, R.-D., Webber, R. J., Xiong, F., & Dong, N. (2023). A system dynamic model
668 for assessing the level of BIM implementation in construction phase: a China case study.
669 *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 30(4), 1321–1343.
670 <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-10-2021-0895>

671 Wang, D., & Cheng, X. (2024). Study on the path of high-quality development of the construction
672 industry and its applicability. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 14727. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-64786-y)
673 024-64786-y

674 Wang, H., & Wei, W. (2020). Coordinating technological progress and environmental regulation in
675 CO2 mitigation: The optimal levels for OECD countries & emerging economies. *Energy*
676 *Economics*, 87, 104510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2019.104510>

677 Wang, J., Bai, W., & Zhang, J. (2025). Analyzing the high-quality development of the construction
678 industry from the perspective of the economic-environmental-social coupling: evidence from
679 China. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-025-06698-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-025-06698-z)
680 z

681 Wang, M., Wang, Y., Wu, Y., Yue, X., Wang, M., & Hu, P. (2022). Identifying the spatial heterogeneity
682 in the effects of the construction land scale on carbon emissions: Case study of the Yangtze River
683 Economic Belt, China. *Environmental Research*, 212, 113397.
684 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113397>

685 Wang, R., Qi, Z., & Shu, Y. (2020). Multiple relationships between fixed-asset investment and
686 industrial structure evolution in China–Based on Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) analysis and
687 VAR model. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 55, 222–231.
688 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2020.09.001>

689 Wang, T., Li, K., Liu, D., Yang, Y., & Wu, D. (2022). Estimating the Carbon Emission of Construction

Waste Recycling Using Grey Model and Life Cycle Assessment: A Case Study of Shanghai. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(14), 8507. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19148507>

Wang, Yan, & Wu, X. (2022). Research on High-Quality Development Evaluation, Space–Time Characteristics and Driving Factors of China’s Construction Industry under Carbon Emission Constraints. *Sustainability*, 14(17), 10729. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710729>

Wang, Yuhe, Ye, G., Zhang, Y., Mu, P., & Wang, H. (2020). Is the Chinese construction industry moving towards a knowledge- and technology-intensive industry? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 259, 120964. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120964>

Wu, P., Song, Y., Zhu, J., & Chang, R. (2019). Analyzing the influence factors of the carbon emissions from China’s building and construction industry from 2000 to 2015. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 221, 552–566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.200>

Wu, X., Ma, T., Zhang, J., & Shi, B. (2023). The role of construction industry and construction policy on sustainable rural development in China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(3), 7942–7955. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-22632-6>

Xiang, Y., Yin, H., Wei, Y., & Su, Y. (2024). Evaluation and Spatial Evolution Analysis of High-Quality Development in China’s Construction Industry Utilizing Catastrophe Progression Method: A Case Study of Twelve Provinces in the Western Region. *Sustainability*, 16(24), 10879. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su162410879>

Xu, G., Dong, H., Xu, Z., & Bhattarai, N. (2022). China can reach carbon neutrality before 2050 by improving economic development quality. *Energy*, 243, 123087. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.123087>

Xu, R., & Song, F. M. (2025). Is AI a key driving force for Chinese total factor productivity growth? Mechanistic analysis of employment, supply chain, and information asymmetry. *Economic*

714 *Modelling*, 150, 107126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2025.107126>

715 Xu, S., Zhang, M., Xia, B., & Liu, J. (2023). Exploring construction workers' attitudinal ambivalence:
 716 a system dynamics approach. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 30(2),
 717 671–696. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-01-2021-0097>

718 Xu, Y., & Deng, H. (2022). Green total factor productivity in Chinese cities: Measurement and causal
 719 analysis within a new structural economics framework. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 7(4),
 720 100235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100235>

721 Yadav, I. S., Pahi, D., & Gangakhedkar, R. (2022). The nexus between firm size, growth and
 722 profitability: new panel data evidence from Asia–Pacific markets. *European Journal of*
 723 *Management and Business Economics*, 31(1), 115–140. [https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-03-2021-](https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-03-2021-0077)
 724 0077

725 Yang, L., & Li, Z. (2017). Technology advance and the carbon dioxide emission in China – Empirical
 726 research based on the rebound effect. *Energy Policy*, 101, 150–161.
 727 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.11.020>

728 Yang, Y., Pan, M., & Pan, W. (2019). 'Co-evolution through interaction' of innovative building
 729 technologies: The case of modular integrated construction and robotics. *Automation in*
 730 *Construction*, 107, 102932. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2019.102932>

731 Ye, G., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, L., Xie, H., Fu, Y., & Zuo, J. (2019). Impact of Migrant Workers
 732 on Total Factor Productivity in Chinese Construction Industry. *Sustainability*, 11(3), 926.
 733 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11030926>

734 You, Z. (2024). Intelligent construction: unlocking opportunities for the digital transformation of
 735 China's construction industry. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 31(4),
 736 1429–1453. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-08-2022-0706>

737 Zhan, J., Wang, C., Wang, H., Zhang, F., & Li, Z. (2024). Pathways to achieve carbon emission peak

and carbon neutrality by 2060: A case study in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 189, 113955. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113955>

Zhang, L., & Zhang, J. (2024). Evaluation and promotion path of high-quality development in the Chinese construction industry under the context of carbon neutrality. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-04837-6>

Zhang, S., Lv, Y., Xu, J., & Zhang, B. (2023). Exploring the Spatiotemporal Heterogeneity of Carbon Emission from Energy Consumption and Its Influencing Factors in the Yellow River Basin. *Sustainability*, 15(8), 6724. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15086724>

Zhang, W., Zhang, M., Wu, S., & Liu, F. (2021). A complex path model for low-carbon sustainable development of enterprise based on system dynamics. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 321, 128934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128934>

Zhang, X., Sun, J., Zhang, X., & Wang, F. (2024). Assessment and regression of carbon emissions from the building and construction sector in China: A provincial study using machine learning. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 450, 141903. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141903>

Zhang, Zhao, Gao, Q., Shao, S., Zhang, Y., Bao, Y., & Zhao, L. (2024). Carbon emission scenarios of China's construction industry using a system dynamics methodology – Based on life cycle thinking. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 435, 140457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140457>

Zhang, Zhengyan, Wu, Z., Yang, X., Cai, B., & Lin, Z. (2024). The driving factors of spatial differences on the whole life cycle carbon emissions of the construction industry: from the analysis perspective of total factor productivity. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 12, 1330614. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2024.1330614>

Zhao, Q., Gao, W., Su, Y., Wang, T., & Wang, J. (2023). How can C&D waste recycling do a carbon

762 emission contribution for construction industry in Japan city? *Energy and Buildings*, 298, 113538.

763 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2023.113538>

764 Zhou, F., Zhao, Y., Liang, R., & Zhu, S. (2024). Does the combination of low-carbon and innovative
765 policies promote the high-quality development of enterprises?—A quasi-natural experiment based
766 on the “dual pilot” of low carbon and innovation cities. *Environment, Development and*
767 *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-04979-7>

768 Zhu, C., Chang, Y., Li, X., & Shan, M. (2022). Factors influencing embodied carbon emissions of
769 China’s building sector: An analysis based on extended STIRPAT modeling. *Energy and Buildings*,
770 255, 111607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2021.111607>

771 Zhu, C., Li, X., Zhu, W., & Gong, W. (2022). Embodied carbon emissions and mitigation potential in
772 China’s building sector: An outlook to 2060. *Energy Policy*, 170, 113222.
773 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2022.113222>

774 Zhu, S., Li, D., Chen, M., & Zhang, Y. (2024). Climate change scenario simulations for urban flood
775 resilience with system dynamics approach: A case study of smart city shanghai in Yangtze River
776 Delta region. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 112, 104801.
777 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2024.104801>

778 Zhu, X., Che, J., Niu, X., Cao, N., & Zhang, G. (2025). Optimization of Carbon Emission Reduction
779 Path in the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei Region Based on System Dynamics. *Sustainability*, 17(4), 1364.
780 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17041364>

781